


Graph 2b Evolution of the weekly risks of receiving, in one month, an infective bite of the main vector of malaria in a house before, and after, installation of LLINs+ ZF. (D= number of days)



Graph 2c Evolution of the monthly risks of receiving, in one year, an infective bite of the main vector of malaria in a house before, and after, installation of LLINs+ZF. (M= number of months)

Table 2a Evolution of the weekly risks, in one month, of getting an infective bite before, and after, full coverage in LLINs+ ZF, with the difference of risks induced

LLIN+ZF	Before	After	Diff.
D1	3.1	0.6	-80.6%
D7	19.7	4.1	-79.0%
D14	35.6	8.1	-77.2%
D21	48.3	11.9	-75.4%
D28	58.5	15.5	-73.4%

3.2.2 Evolution of the monthly risks in one year

Before LLINs+ ZF installation the risk of being infected reached 61% in one month; 85% in two months; > 90% in three months and > 99% in six months; being #100% in one year. After LLINs + ZF installation these risks were respectively 16%; 30%; 55%, 66% and 89% (Table 2b) meaning a worthy reduction of risks. This reduction of risks: was higher with the combination LLIN+ZF than LLIN alone; decreased with time (Graph 2c); from 75% in one month to 11% in one year.