

Author contributions

Yahweh Van Ram Sri conceived and designed the study, performed the literature review and risk assessment, prepared all tables and figures, and wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

The author affirms that this research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Aquaculture Council of Western Australia, 2002, Aquaculture industry occupational health and safety code, Aquaculture Council of Western Australia.
- Badiola M., Basurko O.C., Piedrahita R., Hundley P., and Mendiola D., 2018, Energy use in recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS): a review, *Aquacultural Engineering*, 81: 57-70.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2018.03.003>
- Department of Occupational Safety and Health, 2001, Guidelines on occupational safety and health in fishing and aquaculture operations, DOSH Malaysia.
- FAO, 2009, *Lates calcarifer*, in *Cultured Aquatic Species Fact Sheets*, edited by Crespi V. and New M., Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Farm-Futurism, 2022, How Israel became the most advanced in aquaculture and fish farming, Farm-Futurism.
- Funge-Smith S., and Phillips M.J., 2001, Aquaculture systems and species, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Hatchery International, 2021, Smart feeding: automated feeder fundamentals, Hatchery International.
- Leal M.C., Rocha R.J.M., Rosa R., and Calado R., 2018, Aquaculture of marine non-food organisms: what, why and how, *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 10: 400-423.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12168>
- Lloyd's Register Foundation, 2021, Occupational safety and health in the aquaculture industry: a global review, Lloyd's Register Foundation.
- Miller D., and Semmens K., 2002, Waste management in aquaculture, West Virginia University Extension.
- Ministry of Manpower, n.d., Risk management, Ministry of Manpower Singapore.
- Prince Edward Island Aquaculture, 2008, Aquaculture safety code of practice, Workers Compensation Board of Prince Edward Island.
- Shen Y., Ma K., and Yue G.H., 2021, Status, challenges and trends of aquaculture in Singapore, *Aquaculture*, 533: 736210.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.736210>
- Singapore Aquaculture Technologies, 2022, Farming technologies, Singapore Aquaculture Technologies.
- Singapore Food Agency, 2022a, Farming technologies, Singapore Food Agency (SFA).
- Singapore Food Agency, 2022b, List of licensed fish culture farms, Singapore Food Agency (SFA).
- Singapore Food Agency, 2022c, Food farms: coastal fish farming in Singapore, Singapore Food Agency (SFA).
- Singapore Standards Council, 2021, Singapore Standard SS 670:2021 - Specification for good aquaculture practice, Enterprise Singapore.
- URA Singapore, 2017, Farming innovations in Singapore: can we farm fish on land?, Urban Redevelopment Authority of Singapore.
- World Aquaculture Society, 2020, The Singapore aquaculture industry - contributing to Singapore's food security, World Aquaculture Society.
- WSH Council, 2011, Code of practice on workplace safety and health risk management, Workplace Safety and Health Council, Singapore.
- WSH Council, 2021, Workplace safety and health guidelines: inland/inshore commercial diving (SS 511:2018), Workplace Safety and Health Council, Singapore.
- Yadav A., Noori M.T., Biswas A., and Min B., 2023, A concise review on the recent developments in the Internet of Things (IoT)-based smart aquaculture practices, *Reviews in Fisheries Science and Aquaculture*, 31(1): 103-118.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23308249.2022.2090228>



Disclaimer/Publisher's Image caption

The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual authors and contributors and do not represent the views of the publishing house and/or its editors. The publisher and/or its editors disclaim all responsibility for any harm or damage to persons or property that may result from the application of ideas, methods, instructions, or products discussed in the content. Publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.